

Plethysm problem of $U((n+1)(n+2)/2) \supset SU(3)$

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1977 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 10 L39

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/10/3/001>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 30/05/2010 at 13:53

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Plethysm problem of $U((n+1)(n+2)/2) \supset SU(3)$

V K B Kota

Physical Research Laboratory, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad 380009, India

Received 7 September 1976, in final form 24 January 1977

Abstract. A method of reducing the partitions of the unitary groups $U((n+1)(n+2)/2)$ to irreducible $SU(3)$ contents is presented.

1. Introduction

The reduction of a unitary group $U(N)$ to its subgroups is a well defined group-theoretical problem. Apart from its mathematical significance, it has profound application to physical problems since the spectroscopic space generated by a single particle in a central potential allows one to introduce a wide variety of unitary symmetries. The class of unitary groups $U(S)$ with $S = (n+1)(n+2)/2$, n being an integer, is of particular interest as these groups are the orbital symmetry groups of a three-dimensional oscillator (Moshinsky 1969). The reduction of these groups (to be denoted by $U(S)$) to their irreducible $SU(3)$ contents is of fundamental importance to nuclear physics problems in particular (Elliott 1958, Ratna Raju *et al* 1972) and to other branches of physics in general (Wybourne 1970, Haskell *et al* 1971). The purpose of this letter is to present a method of obtaining these reductions.

2. Method of calculation

The reduction of the partitions of $U(S)$ to irreducible representations (IR) of $SU(3)$ could be accomplished in a straightforward way using the concept of a plethysm of S -functions introduced by Littlewood (1936). Here one makes use of the expression (Todd 1949),

$$\{A\} \otimes \{f\} = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_k h_k C_k^{[f]} (\{A\} \otimes S_1)^\alpha (\{A\} \otimes S_2)^\beta \dots \quad (1)$$

In the above expression k is the class of the symmetric group of order $r!$ corresponding to the partition $[f]$ of the integer r specified by the cyclic structure

$$S_k = S_1^\alpha S_2^\beta \dots$$

h_k is the order of the class k , $C_k^{[f]}$ is the character of the class k corresponding to the partition $[f]$.

The dimensionality of the partition $[n \ 0 \ 0]$ of $U(3)$ is $(n+1)(n+2)/2$. Thus, substituting in equation (1) the S -function $\{n\}$ in place of the S -function $\{A\}$ one obtains the reductions of the partitions $[f]$ of $U(S)$.

Application of equation (1), though straightforward, is very difficult for large values of the integer r as S -function outer-multiplication becomes tremendously laborious. This is the reason why only reductions of partitions of $r \leq 6$ of $U(10)$ (Ibrahim 1950) and $r \leq 8$ of $U(15)$ (Krishna Brahmam and Ratna Raju 1975) appear in the literature. Also the non-availability of characters beyond $r > 16$ puts a restriction on the above method. Therefore, a search is made for a simplified procedure which we will discuss below.

2.1. Method of obtaining IR of $SU(3)$ in the product of two S -functions

The possible IR of $U(3)$ for a given integer r of $U(S)$ are given by the partitions $[\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3]$. Here λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 are any integers satisfying the conditions

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq 0 \tag{2}$$

and

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = n * r \tag{3}$$

where n is related to S by the relation

$$S = (n + 1)(n + 2)/2.$$

The corresponding $SU(3)$ representations $(\lambda \mu)$ are given by

$$(\lambda \mu) = (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2, \lambda_2 - \lambda_3). \tag{4}$$

For $r < 3$, besides the conditions (2)–(4), one will have further restrictions that

$$\lambda_3 = 0, \quad \text{for } r = 2 \tag{5}$$

and

$$\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0, \quad \text{for } r = 1. \tag{6}$$

The problem of reducing a partition $[X]$ of the integer x of $U(S)$ is just the problem of finding the number of times each $(\lambda \mu)$, given by equations (2)–(6), is contained in the given partition. This we will write in a mathematical notation as

$$\{n\} \otimes \{X\} = \sum_r A_{xr'} (\lambda_{xr'} \mu_{xr'}) \tag{7}$$

where $(\lambda_{xr'}, \mu_{xr'})$ are all possible IR of $SU(3)$ for the integer x of $U(S)$ and $A_{xr'}$ is the number of times the $SU(3)$ representation $(\lambda_{xr'}, \mu_{xr'})$ occurs in the reduction of the partition $[X]$ of $U(S)$.

A similar expression for a partition $[Y]$ of the integer y can be written as

$$\{n\} \otimes \{Y\} = \sum_{r''} A_{yr''} (\lambda_{yr''} \mu_{yr''}). \tag{8}$$

Now we will see how to find IR of $SU(3)$ contained in the product of the partitions $[X]$ and $[Y]$. This problem is just that of finding $A_{zr''}$ in the expression

$$\{n\} \otimes \{[X][Y]\} = \sum_{r''} A_{zr''} (\lambda_{zr''} \mu_{zr''}). \tag{9}$$

It is obvious that $z = x + y$.

To find $A_{zr''}$ we first find the number of times the $SU(3)$ representation $(\lambda_{zr''} \mu_{zr''})$ occurs in the Kronecker product of the $SU(3)$ representations $(\lambda_{xr'} \mu_{xr'}) \times (\lambda_{yr''} \mu_{yr''})$ and multiply it with $A_{xr'} * A_{yr''}$. Then we repeat the same for all r' and then for all r'' . If we add them all we get $A_{zr''}$. If we repeat the above procedure for all r''' , all $A_{zr''}$ will be

obtained. Here one needs to know how to find the number of times a given SU(3) representation $(\lambda_3 \mu_3)$ occurs in the Kronecker product of any two arbitrary SU(3) representations $(\lambda_1 \mu_1) \times (\lambda_2 \mu_2)$. This can be accomplished by using the prescription given by Chew and Sharp (1966).

2.2 Method of obtaining IR of SU(3) in a given partition of U(S)

Knowing how to find IR of SU(3) in the product of any two S-functions of U(S), one can get the IR of SU(3) in a given partition of U(S) as follows.

Expand the partition to products of the partitions of the type $[1^r]$ making use of the determinant expansion of S-functions (Littlewood 1940). Now the products can be reduced to IR of SU(3) by using the method explained in § 2.1.

However, to apply the above method one needs the reductions of all possible partitions of the type $[1^r]$ of U(S). Actually only half of them need be reduced, as the other half can be obtained using the relation

$$[1^{S-r}] = \sum_r A_r(\lambda_r \mu_r) \quad \text{if} \quad [1^r] = \sum_r A_r(\mu_r \lambda_r). \quad (10)$$

The partitions $[1^r]$ can be reduced easily by using a simple counting procedure suggested by K T Hecht (1974, private communication). Alternatively one can apply the method described in § 2.1. to equation (1). Here it is trivial to write down the characters $C_k^{[1^r]}$. And one can use the reciprocity theorem (Weyl 1930) to obtain an expression for $\{n\} \otimes S_r$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \{n\} \otimes S_r = \sum_{a,b} & [\{nr - ar, ar - br, br\} - \{nr - ar, ar - br - 1, br + 1\} \\ & + \{nr - ar - 1, ar - br - 1, br + 2\} - \{nr - ar - 1, ar - br + 1, br\} \\ & + \{nr - ar - 2, ar - br + 1, br + 1\} - \{nr - ar - 2, ar - br, br + 2\}]. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

In the above expression, the summation is over all positive integers a and b with the constraint that all non-standard S-functions are to be completely ignored. The S-functions $\{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3\}$ where λ_1, λ_2 and λ_3 violate the condition (2) for $r > 3$, the conditions (2) and (5) for $r = 2$ and the conditions (2) and (6) for $r = 1$, are all non-standard S-functions. It is important to note that equation (11) gives only the U(3) content of $\{n\} \otimes S_r$ as needed for applications to SU(3).

3. Conclusions

The method of obtaining IR of SU(3) in a partition of U(S) as described in this letter can be translated to a machine code in a straightforward way. The programs have been developed and they may be published elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

Most of the work presented in this letter was done while the author was at Andhra University. The author wishes to thank Dr R D Ratna Raju for his interest in the work. Financial assistance by CSIR, New Delhi, is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Chew C K and Sharp R T 1966 *Can. J. Phys.* **44** 2789
- Elliott J P 1958 *Proc. R. Soc. A* **245** 128, 562
- Haskell T G, Wybourne B G and Feneuille S 1971 *Physica* **53** 64
- Ibrahim E M 1950 *Royal Society Depository of Mathematical Tables* No. 1
- Krishna Brahmam K V and Ratna Raju R D 1975 *Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables* **16** 165
- Littlewood D E 1936 *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **11** 49
- 1940 *The Theory of Group Characters and Matrix Representations of Groups* (London: Oxford University Press)
- Moshinsky M 1969 *Harmonic Oscillator in Modern Physics from Atoms to Quarks* (New York: Gordon and Breach)
- Ratna Raju R D, Draayer J P and Hecht K T 1972 *Nucl. Phys. A* **202** 433
- Todd J A 1949 *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **49** 328
- Weyl H 1930 *The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics* (New York: Dover) p 338
- Wybourne B G 1970 *Symmetry Principles in Atomic Spectroscopy* (New York: Wiley Interscience) p 82